

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

cavities and hairs do not develop in the familiar way except where Nostoc colonies are, the liverwort must profit by such associates. It is simply a matter of mechanics. Where the resistance is less than growth can overcome (and this is the case between the Nostoc filaments in the gelatinous mass), the liverwort cells will grow out, forming short hairs. The growing and swelling colony as a whole will enlarge the cavity in which it lies. There are other intercellular spaces throughout the thallus, but these are not enlarged because not occupied. There is no conceivable advantage in their enlargement.—George J. Peirce, Stanford University, California.

DISTRIBUTION AND HABITS OF SOME COMMON OAKS

Since writing the paper under this title, which appeared in the June number of this journal, I have been in Milwaukee and had the opportunity of examining the oaks in two herbaria, probably representative of any that may be found there. In the Public Museum were two specimens labeled Quercus palustris Du Roi. One had an acorn, and as far as determinable by this and the leaf-characters, was Q. ellipsoidalis; it is certainly not what it is labeled. The other was without fruit, and was doubtless the same species. In the herbarium of Dr. Lewis Sherman, one of the older residents of Milwaukee and an acquaintance of Dr. Lapham, was a specimen labeled as above. It had an acorn cup but no nuts. This showed at least that it was not Q. palustris. All the evidence tends to the conclusion that the real pin oak does not occur in the region from which these specimens were taken.—E. J. Hill, Chicago.